

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/791,685             | WEBB, JAMES         |  |
| <b>Examiner</b>                             | <b>Art Unit</b>        |                     |  |
| Matthew O. Savage                           | 1724                   |                     |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Matthew O. Savage. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. A. John Tate. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 September 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 12-15, 38-40, 48-57, 63-71, and 73-75

Prior art documents discussed:

Lindman, Pressley, and Forbush.

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreed to amend claims 1 and 38 to patentably distinguish over the art of record. Agreed to cancel new claims 73 and 74 in favor of new claim 75 which included all of the allowable subject matter of amended claim 1 with the exception of limitations to the pH sensor and control system. Agreed to change the term "primary" to --final-- in claims 1 and 38 to correspond with FIG. 1 of the drawings which showed a final water treatment station connected to the solid based sulfurous acid generator. Agreed to combine claims 13-15 into claim 12 and claims 48-50 into claim 47 in order to accommodate the recitation of the "final water treatment station" added to claims 1 and 38. Agreed to change "apparatus" to --system-- in the dependent claims for proper antecedent basis. See the attached examiner's amendment and reasons for allowance for details. .